



FILE DESCRIPTION

SUBJECT Thurgood Marshall

FILE NO. Washington Metropolitan Field Office file 89-WF-163189

140

U

Subject of FOIPA Request
by 190-WF-193489
Retain 6 years beyond
9-15-95

89-WF-163189
Subject of FOIPA Request
By 190-WF-184553
Retain 6 Years 3-10-93

1424

Serials in this
file have been
automated
through serial 11

NO SERIAL CAN BE PLACED, CHANGED OR INSERTED PRIOR
TO ABOVE SERIAL.

1425

SMIT VIA:

☒ Teletype
☐ Facsimile
☐ _____

FBI

PRECEDENCE:

☐ Immediate
☒ Priority
☐ Routine

CLASSIFICATION:

☐ TOP SECRET
☐ SECRET
☐ CONFIDENTIAL
☐ UNCLAS E F T O
☒ UNCLAS

Date 5/04/89

b7C

FM FBI WASHINGTON METROPOLITAN FIELD(89D-NEW) (P) (C-4)

TO DIRECTOR FBI/PRIORITY/

FBI NEW YORK/PRIORITY/

BT

U N C L A S

CITE: //3920//

PASS: VIOLENT CRIMES UNIT, CID.

*note correction
on page 2*

0044

540
pm

SUBJECT: [REDACTED] ASSOCIATE JUSTICE THURGOOD MARSHALL -
VICTIM; U.S. SUPREME COURT; CCSCAKA; OO:WMFO.

b7C

RE TELCAL BETWEEN SSA [REDACTED] FBIHQ AND SA [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] WMFO DATED MAY 4, 1989.

ON MAY 3, 1989, SERGEANT [REDACTED] U.S. SUPREME COURT
POLICE, WASHINGTON, D.C. FURNISHED WMFO WITH AN ORIGINAL THREAT
LETTER RECENTLY RECEIVED AT THE SUPREME COURT. SERGEANT [REDACTED]
EXPLAINED THAT THE LETTER WAS ENCLOSED IN A FEDERAL EXPRESS

② WMFO
(2)

89D-WF-163189-1

Approved: WDF/ [REDACTED] Transmitted

SEARCHED [REDACTED]
SERIAL [REDACTED]

b7C

(Number) 4 MAY 4 5 05 PM '89

GPO : 1987 O - 193-749

5/8/89
[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

142

FBI

TRANSMIT VIA:

- ☐ Teletype
☐ Facsimile
☐ _____

PRECEDENCE:

- ☐ Immediate
☐ Priority
☐ Routine

CLASSIFICATION:

- ☐ TOP SECRET
☐ SECRET
☐ CONFIDENTIAL
☐ UNCLAS E F T O
☐ UNCLAS

Date _____

1 PACKAGE THAT WAS ADDRESSED TO THE CLERK OF THE COURT AND b7c
 2 CONTAINED APPROXIMATELY FIVE POUNDS OF DOCUMENTS. THE PACKAGE
 3 WAS DISCARDED. [REDACTED] HAS BEEN CORRESPONDING WITH THE CLERK OF
 4 THE COURT FOR THE PAST COUPLE OF MONTHS, ATTEMPTING TO HAVE HIS
 5 PETITIONS HEARD BEFORE THE SUPREME COURT. THE CLERK HAS BEEN
 6 RETURNING HIS PETITION FOR LEGAL REASONS.

THE LETTER STATES IN PART:

7
 8 "THIS COURT IS MY FINAL ATTEMPT TO OBTAIN JUSTICE LEGALLY.
 9 IF THIS COURT TURNS OUT TO BE AS CORRUPT AS THE COURTS BELOW, IT
 10 WILL PROBABLY AMOUNT TO ONE OF THE BIGGEST FRAUDS EVER TO BE
 11 PERPETRATED UPON THE AMERICAN PEOPLE, WITH THE FOUNDING FATHERS
 12 TURNING OVER IN THEIR GRAVES. IF THIS TURNS OUT TO BE TRUE, THEN
 13 "JUSTICE" WILL BE "SERVED" AT THE END OF A GUN EACH TIME THE
 14 TRIGGER IS PULLED."

15 "YOU HAVE TWENTY-FOUR (24) HOURS TO ACT WITH INJUNCTIONS AND
 16 ORDERS TO RETURN MY CAR. IF I DO NOT HEAR FROM YOU, BERNHARD
 17 GOETZ II WILL BE BORN WITH DESTRUCTION, BLOODSHED OR DEATH
 18 RESULTING. IF THE LAW OF THE JUNGLE IS TO PREVAIL, THEN I MUST
 19 FIGHT WITH GUNS, KNIVES, OR MY BARE HANDS, TO THE DEATH IF
 20 NECESSARY. IN THE ^{absence} OF LAW AND ORDER, IT MUST BE AS THE
 21

Approved: _____

Transmitted _____

(Number)

(Time)

Per _____

1425

FBI

TRANSMIT VIA:

☐ Teletype
☐ Facsimile
☐ _____

PRECEDENCE:

☐ Immediate
☐ Priority
☐ Routine

CLASSIFICATION:

☐ TOP SECRET
☐ SECRET
☐ CONFIDENTIAL
☐ UNCLAS E F T O
☐ UNCLAS

Date _____

BIBLE SAYS; AN EYE FOR AN EYE."

THE LETTER COMPLAINS THAT OFFICERS OF THE SUFFOLK COUNTY, POLICE DEPARTMENT, 4TH PRECINCT, SMITHTOWN, NEW YORK RECENTLY IMPOUNDED HIS VEHICLE ILLEGALLY. b7C

ON MAY 4, 1989, SA [REDACTED] U.S. SECRET SERVICE, AND INSPECTOR [REDACTED] U.S. MARSHALL'S SERVICE, WASHINGTON, D.C. WERE ADVISED OF THE THREAT.

FACSIMILE OF THREAT LETTER FOLLOWS TO NEW YORK.

LEADS:

NEW YORK DIVISION

AT SMITHTOWN, NEW YORK

1) CONDUCT BACKGROUND INVESTIGATION REGARDING [REDACTED] CRIMINAL AND MENTAL HISTORY. b7C

2) CONTACT OFFICER [REDACTED] NUMBER [REDACTED] 4TH PRECINCT, SUFFOLK COUNTY, POLICE DEPARTMENT, WHO HAS BACKGROUND INFORMATION ON SUBJECT.

3) INTERVIEW [REDACTED] REGARDING THE LETTER HE SENT TO THE VICTIM. SECURE PHOTOGRAPHS OF [REDACTED]

4) PRESENT FOR A PROSECUTIVE OPINION.

U N C L A S

Approved: _____ Transmitted _____ Per _____
 (Number) (Time)

425

FBI

TRANSMIT VIA:

- ☐ Teletype
☐ Facsimile
☐ _____

PRECEDENCE:

- ☐ Immediate
☐ Priority
☐ Routine

CLASSIFICATION:

- ☐ TOP SECRET
☐ SECRET
☐ CONFIDENTIAL
☐ UNCLAS E F T O
☐ UNCLAS

Date _____

BT

Approved: _____ Transmitted _____ Per _____
(Number) (Time)

142

VZCZOWM0044

PP HQ NY

DE WM #0044 124224Z

ZNR UUUUU

P 041955Z MAY 89

FM FBI WASHINGTON METROPOLITAN FIELD 89-NEW YORK-4

TO DIRECTOR FBI PRIORITY

FBI NEW YORK/PRIORITY

BT

C N C L A S

CITE: 7739207

PAGE: VIOLENT CRIMES UNIT, CID.

b7C

SUBJECT: [REDACTED] ASSOCIATE JUSTICE THURGOOD MARSHALL -
VICTIM: U.S. SUPREME COURT: CUBANA: OBTAINED

RE TELCAL BETWEEN SSA [REDACTED] FBIHQ AND SA [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] WMFO DATED MAY 4, 1989.

ON MAY 3, 1989, SERGEANT [REDACTED] U.S. SUPREME COURT
POLICE, WASHINGTON, D.C. FURNISHED WMFO WITH AN ORIGINAL THREAT
LETTER RECENTLY RECEIVED AT THE SUPREME COURT. SERGEANT [REDACTED]
EXPLAINED THAT THE LETTER WAS ENCLOSED IN A FEDERAL EXPRESS

b7C

89-LUF-163129
Searched
Serialized
Indexed
FILED [REDACTED] 14

PAGE TWO DE WM 0044 UNCLAS

PACKAGE THAT WAS ADDRESSED TO THE CLERK OF THE COURT AND
CONTAINED APPROXIMATELY FIVE POUNDS OF DOCUMENTS. THE PACKAGE
WAS DISCARDED. [REDACTED] HAS BEEN CORRESPONDING WITH THE CLERK OF
THE COURT FOR THE PAST COUPLE OF MONTHS, ATTEMPTING TO HAVE HIS
PETITIONS HEARD BEFORE THE SUPREME COURT. THE CLERK HAS BEEN
RETURNING HIS PETITION FOR LEGAL REASONS.

THE LETTER STATES IN PART:

"THIS COURT IS MY FINAL ATTEMPT TO OBTAIN JUSTICE LEGALLY.
IF THIS COURT TURNS OUT TO BE AS CORRUPT AS THE COURTS BELOW, IT
WILL PROBABLY AMOUNT TO ONE OF THE BIGGEST FRAUDS EVER TO BE
PERPETRATED UPON THE AMERICAN PEOPLE. WITH THE FOUNDING FATHERS
TURNING OVER IN THEIR GRAVES. IF THIS TURNS OUT TO BE TRUE, THEN
"JUSTICE" WILL BE "SERVED" AT THE END OF A GUN EACH TIME THE
TRIGGER IS PULLED."

"YOU HAVE TWENTY-FOUR (24) HOURS TO ACT WITH INJUNCTIONS AND
ORDERS TO RETURN MY CAR. IF I DO NOT HEAR FROM YOU, BERNHARD
GOETZ II WILL BE BORN WITH DESTRUCTION, BLOODSHED OR DEATH
RESULTING. IF THE LAW OF THE JUNGLE IS TO PREVAIL, THEN I MUST
FIGHT WITH GUNS, KNIVES, OR MY BARE HANDS, TO THE DEATH IF
NECESSARY. IN THE ABSENCE OF LAW AND ORDER, IT MUST BE AS THE

43

PAGE THREE DE WM 0044 UNCLAS

BIBLE SAYS; AN EYE FOR AN EYE."

THE LETTER COMPLAINS THAT OFFICERS OF THE SUFFOLK COUNTY, POLICE DEPARTMENT, 4TH PRECINCT, SMITHTOWN, NEW YORK RECENTLY IMPOUNDED HIS VEHICLE ILLEGALLY. b7C

ON MAY 4, 1989, SA [REDACTED] U.S. SECRET SERVICE, AND INSPECTOR [REDACTED], U.S. MARSHAL'S SERVICE, WASHINGTON, D.C. WERE ADVISED OF THE THREAT.

FAISIMILE OF THREAT LETTER FOLLOWS TO NEW YORK.

LEADS:

NEW YORK DIVISION

AT SMITHTOWN, NEW YORK b7C

- 1) CONDUCT BACKGROUND INVESTIGATION REGARDING [REDACTED] CRIMINAL AND MENTAL HISTORY.
- 2) CONTACT OFFICER [REDACTED] NUMBER [REDACTED] 4TH PRECINCT, SUFFOLK COUNTY, POLICE DEPARTMENT, WHO HAS BACKGROUND INFORMATION ON SUBJECT.
- 3) INTERVIEW [REDACTED] REGARDING THE LETTER HE SENT TO THE VICTIM. SECURE PHOTOGRAPHS OF [REDACTED]
- 4) PRESENT FOR A PROSECUTIVE OPINION.

U N C L A S

1432

PAGE FOUR DE WM 0044 UNCLAS

BT

#0044

NNNN

1433

TRANSMISSION REPORT(MAY 03 '89) ; FBI/WFO

DATE	START	REMOTE TERMINAL	MODE	TIME	PAGES	RESULT
MAY 03	12:01	[REDACTED]	G3T	02'23"	004	OK

89D WF-163189-2
SERIAL: [REDACTED] 67C
MAY 12 3 04 PM '89

1434

Transmit attached by Facsimile

PRECEDENCE:

- ☐ Immediate
☐ Priority
☒ Routine

CLASSIFICATION:

- ☐ TOP SECRET
☐ SECRET
☐ CONFIDENTIAL
☐ UNCLAS E F T O
☒ UNCLAS

To: SAC NEW YORK

From: SAC WMFO 89D-NEW (P) (SQUAD C-4)

Date 5/4/89

Subject: [REDACTED]

ASSOCIATE JUSTICE THURGOOD MARSHALL - VICTIM
 U.S. SUPREME COURT; CCSCAKA; OO:WMFO

- ☐ Fingerprint Photo ☐ Fingerprint Record ☐ Map ☐ Newspaper clipping ☐ Photograph
☐ Artists Conception ☐ Teletype ☐ Other threat letter

Special handling Instructions:

b7C

SA [REDACTED]

WMFO FTS [REDACTED]

Send copy to Insp. [REDACTED], Court Security, U.S. Marshall Service
 FAX # [REDACTED]

Send copy

Approved: *RJA* [REDACTED]

FBI/DOJ

1435

89D-WF-163189-3

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
MAY 11 1989	
FBI - WASH. FIELD OFFICE	

b7C

1436

b7C

[REDACTED]

April 26, 1989

Mr. Justice Thurgood Marshall
Associate Justice of the
Supreme Court of the United States
91 First Street, N.E.
Washington, D.C. 20543

Dear Mr. Justice Marshall:

I am sorry, indeed that I am compelled to communicate with you in this fashion, but this must be done in the Cause of Justice, as some urgent and serious matters are involved which are being blocked by your Court Clerk as the copy of enclosed papers clearly indicate.

The title captions of my three actions are herewith enclosed in a very abbreviated form and all three actions also involve malicious violations of the Anti-trust Laws and the Public Interest and present the basic flavor of the nature of the actions.

Also, as you can see from the Federal Action caption, there is nothing but CORRUPTION in the Federal Courts below where I am preparing Impeachment Proceedings. Positive documentary evidence is available as indisputable proof.

Further, as you can see from my communications to the Commission on Judicial Conduct, there is no respect whatsoever for the Laws of the Land in the County of Suffolk. Although by Law, the Commission is supposed to prosecute these actions, I will attempt to do it myself under the Special Prosecutor Law, since the Commission is also a CORRUPT political machine. I have documentary evidence of these allegations since I have previously submitted papers to them (which they have ignored) to remove a CORRUPT State Supreme Court Judge.

One has only to read the newspapers to learn of the murders, rapes, robberies, etc., etc., and the functioning of the System of "Justice". The core of the problems lies in the sitting Judges who are negligent, incompetent, or CORRUPT, or who possesses all of the "sterling" qualities. I am also writing a paper which describes a method of selecting a Judge which will be submitted to the appropriate authority(s). Since I am not a lawyer, the paper will either be plagiarized by others or will find its way into File 13, since the CORRUPT Lawyers and Judges would prefer to keep the System as it is because they are making money (bribes, plea bargaining, etc.) at the expense of the litigants.

If the Federal and State Legislatures go to the considerable lengths to enact laws to promote Justice in our civilized society, the "great Question" is; why is the CORRUPTION in the

1437

Federal and State Judiciaries permitted to continue to exist??? If the CORRUPTION in the Judiciaries is knowingly permitted to continue to be a way of life in our Society and Country, we do not need Legislatures or Law Libraries which the Judiciaries will ignore. All we would require are guns and knives to survive in the "Jungle." If Law and Order is to be respected, the CORRUPTION MUST be eliminated.

I believe that at least part of the problem is that I am not a lawyer, but, as you know, one is not required to be a lawyer in order to read, write and understand the English Language, to use common sense, and to know the difference between right and wrong.

I believe that the other part of the problem is the Legal Profession itself, which constitutes a monopoly (in direct violation of the Federal Anti-trust Laws) where "intruders" who are NOT lawyers, are NOT welcome to represent themselves, since some lawyers would lose fees, and their own incompetence, negligence and CORRUPT practices may be exposed. If litigants represent themselves, the title of the "real" action becomes "PRO SE v. ESQ." with the victor being pre-determined.

As you know, every litigant has a right to be heard and to have his day in Court (your attention is respectfully directed to ATTACHMENT-6D). Your Court Clerk is deliberately blocking my papers and Legal Rights. Please advise me as to how I can get my papers to you without them being blocked by your Court Clerk (I have already referred him to Rule 29.4 in my papers).

This Court is my final attempt to obtain Justice LEGALLY. If this Court turns out to be as CORRUPT as the Courts below, it will probably amount to one of the biggest frauds ever to be perpetrated upon the American People, with the Founding Fathers turning over in their graves. If this turns out to be true, then "Justice" will be "served" at the end of a gun each time the trigger is pulled.

Which way will it be Mr. Justice Thurgood Marshall??? Will this Country see Law and Order or will our Society rot with cancerous CORRUPTION??? If it should turn out that Justice is NOT available in this Country as a way of life, then there would be no other choice than the birth of Bernhard Goetz II, III, IV, etc., as people will refuse to no longer accept or tolerate the CORRUPTION, abuse, and harassment, since I have every intention of protecting my person and property even if it takes me to the grave. This Legal Right is grounded in the Constitution of the United States, Amendment 5 "nor be deprived of life, liberty, or property, without due process of law, nor shall private property be taken for Public use, without just compensation."

So far, it appears that the Law of the Jungle is taking place. My car has just been illegally and forcefully "seized and impounded" in effect and reality, it has been stolen (without notice as with "Pearl Harbor") by Inspector [REDACTED] of the Town of

b7C

Smithtown Code Enforcement Bureau and a crooked cop of the 4th Precinct of the Suffolk County Police department, [REDACTED] P.O. [REDACTED] assisting with the forceful theft, which, as you know, is a violation of the 5th Amendment. This Amendment was recited to the two men, who simply ignored the Laws as if they didn't exist. Now, I have no way of looking for a job to support myself and I require medical attention which I cannot get without my car. This constitutes nothing less than attempted murder and rape by the Suffolk County Officers.

You have twenty four (24) hours to get with Injunctions and Orders to return my car. If I do not hear from you, Bernhard Goetz II will be born, with destruction, bloodshed or death resulting. If the Law of the Jungle is to prevail, then I must fight with guns, knives, or my bare hands, to the death if necessary. In the absence of Law and Order, it must be as the Bible says; an eye for an eye.

The enclosed papers are not entirely complete since I did not have the time to complete them, but the flavor cannot be mistaken.

It is nothing more than heinous obscenity and a sick travesty of Justice on the part of the CORRUPT lower Courts, since this matter has been denied, pending, and delayed since December of 1986. Now, it will be either Justice or Death. If you should be too late in acting, and I do not respond to you, I will be either in jail or dead.

This letter is being sent to you via Express Mail-Return Receipt Requested (together with enclosures), Express #B01855986 to be certain that you receive them.

Respectfully yours,

b7C

[REDACTED] (pro se)
[REDACTED] (pro se) for Petitioner/Plt'f

Encl: (1) Motion for Waiver of Time Limitations
(2) Four applications for Injunctions
(3) Motion for Leave to Proceed in Forma Pauperis

1439

[REDACTED]
April 25, 1989

[REDACTED]
Clerk
Supreme Court of the United States
41 First Street, N.E.
Washington, D.C. 20543

b7C

Dear [REDACTED]

This letter and enclosures is in response to your letter dated April 11, 1989 (a copy of which is herewith attached as ATTACHMENT-1) which is rejected for the following reasons:

Paragraph 1:

The form of the papers and content for the Writs of Injunction which I have sent you are in exact conformity with a Standard Form contained in the FRCP. The only difficulty which prevailed at the time of preparation, was that I didn't have sufficient time to prepare a complete formal version of the papers. The legal content was intact, concise, clear, obvious, and non-ambiguous and should have superseded any cosmetic effects. Those papers should NOT have been returned to me.

Paragraph 2:

The papers which have been sent to you DOES involve judgments of lower Federal Courts and Laws and it also involves Constitutional Law and State Law. That is the reason for which they were sent to you.

The 90 day time limitation can be legally and properly waived for Writs of Injunction and for Certiorari. This has been explained in my previous papers to you which were necessarily informal and abbreviated due to my lack of time for preparation. This Court was required to take Judicial Notice of McKinney's Statutes of the State of New York (heavily emphasized copies of which were sent to you) and was explained in my abbreviated letter. A formal Motion for Waiver is currently being prepared.

You are advised that this Court has already ruled (ATTACHMENT-2) that "the time limitation is not jurisdictional and does not bar our exercise of discretion to consider this case." ATTACHMENTS-3A, 3B, 3C (emphasized) are also sufficiently self-explanatory. Therefore, this Court DOES have jurisdiction. Since you do not wear the black robes, you are not in a position to make such an adverse decision.

You have also stated "thus the Court has no jurisdiction to consider them on a petition for a Writ of Certiorari. See Rules 17 to 21." If you had taken the time and trouble to examine and to

read the papers, you would have found that they were for Temporary Restraining Orders Without Notice or Interlocutory Injunctions; NOT for Writs of Certiorari. The Writs of Injunction should have been issued PENDING the submittals of Petitions for Writs of Certiorari. If you had read the papers, you would have seen that.

If you will read ATTACHMENTS-4,5, you will learn the purpose of Injunctions; if you had read my papers, it would have been OBVIOUS that I am entitled to that relief. The denial of the relief in the lower Court (copies of the ORDERS denying relief were sent previously) is a "clear abuse of discretion or usurpation of judicial power" (ATTACHMENT-6C).

Charges of Judicial Misconduct had been filed with the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit and they issued their denial (these papers had been sent to you previously). I had sent them papers complaining of their denial. In return, I have received a letter from the U.S. Court of Appeals (ATTACHMENT-7). This letter resulted in Impeachment Proceedings (ATTACHMENT-8) which are in the process of preparation. The CORRUPTION in the lower Courts led to the need for Writs of Certiorari (ATTACHMENT-6A). In addition, the Court has already ruled that "Extraordinary writs are "reserved for really extraordinary causes" (ATTACHMENT-9). In view of the above, where do you come off telling me that "the Court has no jurisdiction to consider them on a petition for a writ of certiorari. See Rules 17 to 21." In addition, if you had examined and read the papers which were recently sent to you, they were for Writs of Injunction and NOT for certiorari. Therefor, your statements are totally incorrect. My papers DO comply with all legal requirements.

Paragraph 3:

This paragraph is totally incorrect as explained above.

Thus far, since the initial submittal of my papers, you have made different complaints in "quotes", addressed different Rules, but you were never explicit as to what your complaint was. In slang terminology (but with clear explicit meaning), this is called "jerk-ing me around" in the same manner as the lower Courts where communications were reduced to dual monologues, simply because the lower Courts refused the direct addressing of the issues, and this is true for one of a few reasons (or all of them):

1. You looked at my name and saw "pro se" next to it and I was immediately "disqualified" as a litigant. That means that my papers were never examined nor read, and it explains why your letter is not in the same context of subject matter as my papers. Yes, I know that the Rules, Constitution and Statutes say that "pro se" litigants have every legal right to proceed. The reality is called "abominable hypocrisy" because the Legal "Profession" doesn't want the "wrong message" sent. You are reminded that this Matter is a Legal Controversy NOT a POLITICAL ONE.

2. You are taking bribes to protect my adversaries, as

144

the lower Courts are doing.

3. You are willfully and knowingly aiding and abetting
CORRUPTION (ATTACHMENT-10).

4. The Courts of the United States are "reserved" for
only "important", "Public" people.

If you wish to submit a rebuttal or response to this
letter (with direct Statutory Citations in support), you may do
so. If I do not hear from you within three (3) days, I will assume
that you have no interest in the matter. YOUR name will be added
to ATTACHMENT-8 (since YOU are blocking my papers so that they do
not reach an Associate Justice of this Court) and I will proceed
as I deem necessary to protect my Legal and Constitutional Rights
which YOU ARE OBVIOUSLY ATTEMPTING TO WITHDRAW.

This letter, together with ATTACHMENTS, is being sent to
you via Certified Mail-Return Receipt Requested, Cert. #P-095-123-195
to be certain that you receive it.

Very truly yours,

b7C

[REDACTED]

(pro se)
(pro se) for Plt'f/Petitioner

Encl: ATTACHMENT-1 : Letter from [REDACTED] dtd. 4/11/
-2 : Ex.srpt from 394 U.S. 316
-3(A-D): Excerpt from 398 U.S. 58
-4(A-B): FRCP Rule 65(b)
-5(A-E): 15 USCS 26
-6(A-F): Excerpt from 352 U.S. 249
-7 : Letter from U.S. Court of Appeals for the
Second Circuit, dtd. 6/30/87
-8 : Caption for Impeachment Proceedings
-9 : Excerpt from 376 U.S. 240
-10 : DMV Scam

1442

SUPRE E COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
OFFICE OF THE CLERK
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20543

CLERK OF THE COURT

April 11, 1989

AREA CODE 202
479-3011

b7C

Dear [REDACTED]

I very much regret the necessity of returning the papers you sent by Express mail and which arrived here on April 9, 1989. They simply do not present a matter either in the form of a petition for a writ of certiorari or a petition for an extraordinary writ which can be docketed in this Court.

The Supreme Court is an appellate court which reviews judgments of lower state and federal courts involving issues of federal law. A judgment of a lower court may be reviewed on a writ of certiorari provided the petition for a writ of certiorari is filed within 90 days of the entry of the judgment sought to be reviewed, or within 90 days of the denial of a timely filed petition for rehearing. Your papers do not address a judgment of a lower court entered within the 90-day period. Thus the Court has no jurisdiction to consider them on a petition for a writ of certiorari. See Rules 17 to 21.

A petition for an extraordinary writ can be issued only "in aid of the Court's appellate jurisdiction. In addition, the petition must show that "there are exceptional circumstances warranting the exercise of the Court's discretionary powers, and that adequate relief cannot be had in any other form or from any other court." See Court Rule 26. Your papers do not comply with these requirements.

To assist in any future filing I am sending you herewith a copy of the Court's rules and a sample petition for a writ of certiorari in forma pauperis. Although we cannot help you with the merits of any case you may have, we would be pleased to reply to any inquiry concerning the procedure for filing a case in the Court.

All the papers you submitted are herewith returned.

Sincerely yours,

[REDACTED]

b7C

Enclosures

144,3

ATTACHMENT - 1

FBI WASHINGTON METROPOLITAN FIELD (89D-WF-161189) (P) (C-4)

TO DIRECTOR, FBI /ROUTINE/

FBI, NEW YORK /ROUTINE/

BT

UNCLAS

CITE: //3920//

PASS: VIOLENT CRIMES UNIT-CID.

SUBJECT: [REDACTED] ASSOCIATE JUSTICE THURGOOD MARSHALL-
VICTIM, U.S. SUPREME COURT, CCSCAKA; OO:WMFO.

RE BUREAU TELETYPE TO ALL SAC'S DATED 10/28/88.

WMFO TT TO THE DIRECTOR AND NEW YORK DATED 5/4/89.

THE SUPREME COURT POLICE, WASHINGTON, D.C. HAVE REQUESTED
THE RESULTS OF THE FBI INVESTIGATION IN CAPTIONED MATTER SO THAT
THEY CAN MAKE A THREAT ASSESSMENT. PURSUANT TO REFERENCED BUREAU
TELETYPE, RESULTS OF THE INVESTIGATION MUST BE REPORTED TO FBIHQ

WMFO

7/3/89

Reassigned to SA

7/1/89

89D-WF-163189

Approved

Transmitted

(Number)

(Time)

100-749

FBI

TRANSMIT VIA:

- ☐ Teletype
☐ Facsimile
☐ _____

PRECEDENCE:

- ☐ Immediate
☐ Priority
☐ Routine

CLASSIFICATION:

- ☐ TOP SECRET
☐ SECRET
☐ CONFIDENTIAL
☐ UNCLAS E F T O
☐ UNCLAS

Date _____

1 AND WMFO WITHIN 7 CALENDAR DAYS.

2 UNCLAS

3 BT

4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21

Approved: _____ Transmitted _____ Per _____
(Number) (Time)

1445

Attached by Facsimile

PRECEDENCE:

- ☒ Immediate
- ☐ Priority
- ☐ Routine

CLASSIFICATION:

- ☐ TOP SECRET
- ☐ SECRET
- ☐ CONFIDENTIAL
- ☐ UNCLAS E F T O
- ☒ UNCLAS

C-4

Supervisor C-4

BQ MRA /

[Redacted]

Date

67C

89-W-163189-5

- ☐ Fingerprint Photo
- ☐ Fingerprint Record
- ☐ Map
- ☐ Newspaper clipping
- ☐ Photograph
- ☐ Artists Conception
- ☐ Teletype
- ☐ Other

SEARCHED [Redacted] INDEXED [Redacted]
SERIALIZED [Redacted] FILED [Redacted]

Special handling instructions:

JUL 6 4 10 PM '89

[Redacted]

Approved: _____

1446

MA
Type
Date

PRECEDENCE:

☐ Minimum
☐ Priority
☐ Routine

CLASSIFICATION:

☐ TOP SECRET
☐ SECRET
☐ CONFIDENTIAL
☐ UNCLAS E F T O
☒ UNCLAS

Date 5/8/89

/IMMEDIATE/

NEW YORK (89D-WF-163189) (C-26/LIRA)

/IMMEDIATE/

DIRECTOR FBI (89D-WF-163189) ()

WMFO (89D-WF-163189) ()

BT

UNCLAS

CITE: //3540:3613//

SUBJECT: [REDACTED] AKA; JUSTICE THURGOOD MARSHALL,
ASSOCIATE JUSTICE OF THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES;
CCS-CAKA; OO: WMFO.

RE WMFO TELETYPE, MAY 4, 1989.

ON MAY 4, 1989, SA [REDACTED] CONTACTED LIEUTENANT
[REDACTED] SUFFOLK COUNTY POLICE DEPARTMENT (SCPD), FOURTH
PRECINCT, HAUPPAUGE, SUFFOLK COUNTY, NEW YORK. LIEUTENANT

1 - 89D-WF-163189

[REDACTED] 18

(2)

*Copy to [REDACTED] Police
U.S. Capitol
Threat Assessment
Unit and Supers
4-10-89 [REDACTED]*

Approved: _____ Transmitted _____ Per _____
(Number) (Time)

144

[REDACTED] ADVISED THAT [REDACTED] IS WELL KNOWN TO THE SCPD AND HAS BEEN SINCE 1985. LIEUTENANT [REDACTED] MADE AVAILABLE A FILE FILLED WITH LETTERS WRITTEN BY [REDACTED] TO THE COMMANDING OFFICER OF THE FOURTH PRECINCT AND STATED THAT A SIMILAR FILE EXISTS IN THE LEGAL DEPARTMENT OF THE POLICE DEPARTMENT. [REDACTED] HAS INSTITUTED SEVERAL LAWSUITS AGAINST THE SCPD, NONE OF WHICH HE HAS WON TO DATE. CENTRAL TO [REDACTED]

b7c

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED] PRESENTLY, [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] CANNOT GET THIS CAR BACK UNLESS IT IS REGISTERED AND INSURED AND, FURTHERMORE, HE CANNOT DRIVE IT AWAY FROM THE SCPD UNLESS HE HAS A VALID NEW YORK STATE DRIVER'S LICENSE, WHICH IS PRESENTLY SUSPENDED. LIEUTENANT [REDACTED] STATED THAT [REDACTED] HAS WRITTEN DEROGATORY LETTERS ABOUT EVERY POLICE OFFICER WHO HAS GOTTEN INVOLVED WITH HIM. HE OPINED THAT IF [REDACTED] IS INTERVIEWED BY FBI AGENTS, THEY WILL BE MADE SUBJECT OF THE SAME. LIEUTENANT [REDACTED] STATED THAT THE SCPD HAS SPENT AN INORDINATE AMOUNT OF TIME ANSWERING ALL THE LETTERS BY [REDACTED]

b7c

LIEUTENANT [REDACTED] ADVISED THAT [REDACTED] WAS BORN [REDACTED] AND RESIDES AT [REDACTED] NEW YORK. HE STATED THAT HE IS A

1448

[REDACTED] WHO APPARENTLY IS NOT WORKING.

LIEUTENANT [REDACTED] STATED [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

b7c
b6

ON MAY 5, 1989, SA [REDACTED] PROVIDED A COPY OF THE LETTER SENT TO JUSTICE THURGOOD MARSHALL TO ASSISTANT UNITED STATES ATTORNEY PETER TOMAO, EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK (EDNY). MR. TOMAO ADVISED THAT AFTER CONFERRING WITH HIS SUPERIORS, IT IS THE OPINION OF THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY'S OFFICE, EDNY, THAT THIS LETTER DOES NOT CONSTITUTE A THREAT TO JUSTICE MARSHALL. IT IS FURTHER THEIR OPINION THAT THE LETTER IS MORE OF A THREAT TO [REDACTED] OF THE TOWN OF SMITHTOWN AND POSSIBLY TO THE SUFFOLK COUNTY POLICE OFFICER [REDACTED] SINCE COPIES OF THIS LETTER HAVE BEEN FURNISHED TO THE SCPD, THE FBI HAS NO FURTHER INVESTIGATION TO CONDUCT.

Signature
Officer

b7c

ON MAY 5, 1989, SA [REDACTED] CONTACTED [REDACTED] TOWN OF SMITHTOWN, ENFORCEMENT BUREAU, AND WAS ADVISED OF THE CONTENTS OF THE LETTER. [REDACTED] STATED THAT HE HAD BEEN IN CONTACT WITH THE SCPD AND WITH LIEUTENANT [REDACTED] AND WAS AWARE OF THE EXISTENCE OF

1449

JUL 8 '89 10:55 AM 117 RM PAGE.05
THE LETTER.

ON MAY 5, 1989, SA [REDACTED] ESTABLISHED THAT [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] HAS NO PISTOL PERMITS OR LICENSE TO CARRY FIREARMS
IN SUFFOLK COUNTY. b7C

ON MAY 8, 1989, SA [REDACTED] WAS IN CONTACT WITH
SA [REDACTED] UNITED STATES SECRET SERVICE, MELVILLE, NEW
YORK, WHO ADVISED THAT HE HAD RECEIVED A COMMUNICATION FROM
HIS HEADQUARTERS IN WASHINGTON, D.C., WHICH ADVISED HIM OF
THE EXISTENCE OF THE LETTER TO JUSTICE MARSHALL, DATED APRIL
26, 1989. SA [REDACTED] STATED THAT HE WAS INTENDING TO BE IN CONTACT
WITH LIEUTENANT [REDACTED] OF THE FOURTH PRECINCT, BCPD, AND
PROBABLY WOULD REVIEW THE FILE ON [REDACTED] SA [REDACTED]
ANTICIPATED THAT HE WOULD NOT INTERVIEW [REDACTED]

BT

1450

INBOX HAS 3 DOCUMENTS
FORMS.TEXT HAS 1 DOCUMENT

INBOX.1 (13530)

TEXT: VZCZCNY0307

RR HQ WMFO

DE NY #0307 1872107

ZNY UUUUU

D 062003Z JUL 89

FM FBI NEW YORK (EQ 89D-WF-163189) (P)

TO DIRECTOR FBI/ROUTINE/


WASHINGTON METROPOLITAN FIELD OFFICE/ROUTINE/

BT

UNCLAS

CITE: //3540:3613//

PASS: HQ FOR VIOLENT CRIMES UNIT - DIT.

SUBJECT:  ASSOCIATE JUSTICE THURGOOD MARSHALL -
VICTIM; UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT. COSCAKA; OO:WMFO.

REFERENCE BUREAU TELETYPE TO ALL SACS, DATED OCTOBER 28, 1988;
WMFO TELETYPE TO DIRECTOR AND NEW YORK, DATED MAY 4, 1989; NEW YORK
TELETYPE TO DIRECTOR AND WMFO, DATED MAY 8, 1989.

REFERENCED NEW YORK TELETYPE REPORTED RESULTS OF NEW YORK'S
INVESTIGATION TO FBIHQ AND WMFO WITHIN SEVEN (7) CALENDAR DAYS AS
REQUIRED BY REFERENCED BUREAU TELETYPE. COPY OF SAME BEING FAXED TO

b7C

UCFN	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Pos	<input type="checkbox"/> Neg
GENERAL INDICES		
<input type="checkbox"/> Automated Search	<input type="checkbox"/> Pos	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Neg
WF: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Pos	<input type="checkbox"/> Neg	<input type="checkbox"/> Pos
<input type="checkbox"/> Manual Search	<input type="checkbox"/> Pos	<input type="checkbox"/> Neg
WF: <input type="checkbox"/> Pos	<input type="checkbox"/> Neg	<input type="checkbox"/> Pos

89-WF-163189*

SEARCHED
SERIALIZED
JUL 6 5 57 PM '89

b7C

89-WF-163189-4

7/10/89/45

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
JUL - 7 1989	

PAGE TWO DE NY 0307 UNCLAS

WMFO, C-4.

BT.

*0307

NNNN

b7C

b7C

8411

1452

MEMORANDUM

9-13-89

TO: SAC, WMFO (89D-WF-163189) (P) (C-4) b7C
FROM: SA [REDACTED]
SUBJECT: [REDACTED] aka;
JUSTICE THURGOOD MARSHALL,
ASSOCIATE JUSTICE OF THE SUPREME COURT
OF THE UNITED STATES;
CCSCAKA;
OO: WMFO

On 9-12-89, SSA [REDACTED] Violent Crimes Unit-
CID, was contacted and advised that he was unable to locate NY
teletype to WMFO dated 5-8-89 regarding current.

1-WMFO
[REDACTED]
(1)

b7C

①

89D-163189-8

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
SEP 13 1989	
FBI - WMFO	

1454

FBI WMFO (89D-WF-163189) (P) (C-1)

DIRECTOR FBI/ROUTINE/

FBI NEW YORK/ROUTINE/

UNCLAS

DATE: 8/13/920//

150-5813
I-29
AT-2235

SUBJECT: [REDACTED] AKA; JUSTICE THURGOOD MARSHALL, b7C
ASSOCIATE JUSTICE OF THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES;
DOCAKA; CO:WMFO.

RE WMFO TT TO NY, DATED JUNE 29, 1988; NY TT TO WMFO, DATED
JULY 7, 1989; WMFO TT TO NY, DATED JULY 13, 1989; AND SEPTEMBER
1989, TELECALL BETWEEN SSA [REDACTED] AND SA [REDACTED]

FOR THE INFORMATION OF NY, FBIHQ ADVISED THAT THEY WERE NOT
IN RECEIPT OF NY TT, DATED MAY 8, 1989. WMFO RECEIVED ONLY A

b7C

89D-WF-163189-9

Transmitted

11:56 PM '89

CLASSIFICATION
UNCLASSIFIED
EXEMPT FROM
FOIA
DATE
BY

PARTIAL TELEFAX OF SAID TT IN JULY 3, 1989, AND ATTEMPTED TO
OBTAIN A COPY FROM FBIHQ. WMFO SEEKS TO DISTRIBUTE INFORMATION
TO THE U.S. CAPITOL POLICE THREAT ASSESSMENT SECTION.

LEADS: NY DIVISION; AT NEW YORK: WILL FORWARD COPY OF TELETYPE,
DATED MAY 3, 1989, TO FBIHQ AND WMFO.

BT

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

Transmitted

(Number)

(Time)

1456

/READ/REF 6
0026 MRI 01031

RR RUEHFB FBIWMFO

DE FBINY #0021 2751856

ZNR UUUUU

R 021329Z OCT 89

FM FBI NEW YORK (89D-NY-184262) (C)

TO DIRECTOR FBI/ROUTINE/

FBI WMFO (89D-WF-163189)/ROUTINE/

BT

UNCLAS

CITE: //3540//

UCFM ☐ Neg
GENERAL
☒ PM
WF ☒
WFO ☐
☐ Neg

b7C

89D-WF-163189-10

SUBJECT: [REDACTED] AKA: JUSTICE THURGOOD MARSHALL, ASSOCIATE;

JUSTICE OF THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES; CCSCAKA; 00:WMFO.

REWMFOTEL TO NEW YORK, DATED SEPTEMBER 13, 1989, CAPTIONED AS
ABOVE.

FOR INFORMATION OF WMFO, NEW YORK FILE OF CAPTIONED CASE
CLOSED IN MAY, 1988, HOWEVER, IT CANNOT BE LOCATED IN NEW YORK
CLOSED FILES. A SEARCH TO DATE HAS BEEN UNABLE TO LOCATE THAT FILE.
CONSEQUENTLY, THE TELETYPE DATED MAY 8, 1989, REQUESTED BY WMFO
CANNOT BE SENT AT THIS TIME.

SEARCHED
SERIALIZED

OCT 2 3 01 PM '89

1451

PAGE TWO DE FBINY 0021 UNCLAS

HOWEVER, THE FOLLOWING SHOULD SERVE AS A BASIS FOR THREAT ASSESSMENT AT WMFO.

CHECKS WITH VARIOUS SUFFOLK COUNTY, NEW YORK POLICE AGENCIES SHOWS THAT [REDACTED] IS A POLICE NUISANCE. [REDACTED] b7C

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

HE HAS ALSO MADE VERBAL THREATS TO POLICE OFFICIALS IN THE TOWN OF SMITHTOWN, NEW YORK. HOWEVER, THE VARIOUS POLICE AGENCIES IN SUFFOLK, HAVING HAD EXTENSIVE CONTACTS WITH [REDACTED] DO NOT SEE HIM AS A THREAT.

THIS MATTER WAS DISCUSSED WITH THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY'S OFFICE, EASTERN DISTRICT OF N ADVISED THERE IS NO FEDERAL VIOLATION INASMUCH AS THERE IS NO THREAT TO JUSTICE MARSHALL. THE LETTER ACTUALLY CONTAINED THREATS TO SUFFOLK COUNTY POLICE OFFICERS WHO MAY ARREST [REDACTED] IN THE FUTURE. THE APPROPRIATE SUFFOLK COUNTY AUTHORITIES WERE NOTIFIED OF THE LETTER TO JUSTICS MARSHALL.

IT SHOULD BE NOTED THAT THIS CASE WAS OPENED ORIGINALLY AT NEW YORK WITH NYFILE 89D-184262. IF AND WHEN THE FILE IS LOCATED IT

1451

0021 UNCLAS

WILL BE CONSOLIDATED INTO FILE 89D-WF-163189.

NO FURTHER INVESTIGATION IS BEING CONDUCTED BY NEW YORK AT THIS
TIME.

BT

#0021

NNNN

457

FBI

TRANSMIT VIA:

- ☒ Teletype
- ☐ Facsimile
- ☒ AIRTEL

PRECEDENCE:

- ☐ Immediate
- ☐ Priority
- ☒ Routine

CLASSIFICATION:

- ☐ TOP SECRET
 - ☐ SECRET
 - ☐ CONFIDENTIAL
 - ☐ UNCLAS E F T O
 - ☒ UNCLAS
- Date 2/25/90

43W.04
DISK #1

TORREY [redacted] *011W.946*

1 To FM FBI WMFO (89D-WF-163189) (C) (C-4)

2 From TO DIRECTOR FBI/ROUTINE/

3 Subject BT

4 CITE: //3920//

5 PASS: VCU-CID.

NO connections

b7C

*MRT 1280
1606*

6
7 SUBJECT: [redacted] AKA; JUSTICE THURGOOD MARSHALL,
8 ASSOCIATE; JUSTICE OF THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES;
9 CCSCAKA; OO:WMFO.

10 RE: NEW YORK TELETYPE TO THE DIRECTOR DATED 10/2/89.

11 FOR INFORMATION, IN REFERENCED TELETYPE, NEW YORK ADVISES
12 THAT CAPTIONED CASE WAS DISCUSSED WITH THE UNITED STATES
13 ATTORNEY'S OFFICE, EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK WHO ADVISED THAT
14 THERE IS NO FEDERAL VIOLATION INASMUCH AS THERE IS NO THREAT TO
15 JUSTICE MARSHALL. IN VIEW OF THIS PROSECUTIVE OPINION, WMFO IS
16 ADMINISTRATIVELY CLOSING THIS CASE.

17 2-WMFO

18 [redacted]
19 (2)

b7C

2/25/90
89D-WF-163189

20 Approved: [redacted]

21 Transmitted [redacted]

(Number)

(Time)

SEARCHED [redacted]
SERIALIZED [redacted]
FEB 15 10 55 AM '90

146

BT

✓
1461

Field File No. 89D-WF-163189-1A1

Serial # of Originating Document _____

OO and File No. _____

Date Received _____

From _____
(Name of Contributor)

(Address of Contributor)

(City and State)

By SA [REDACTED]
(Name of Special Agent)

To Be Returned ☐ Yes ☐ No Receipt Given ☐ Yes ☐ No

Grand Jury Material - Disseminate Only Pursuant to Rule 6(e), Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure ☐ Yes ☐ No

Title:

b7c

Reference: _____
(Communication Enclosing Material)

Description: ☐ Original notes re interview of

Original letters from [REDACTED]
not sent to lab as identity is known.

1463

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXXFEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
FOIPA DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET3

Page(s) withheld entirely at this location in the file. One or more of the following statements, where indicated, explain this deletion.

- ☐ Deletions were made pursuant to the exemptions indicated below with no segregable material available for release to you.

Section 552Section 552a☐ (b)(1)☐ (b)(7)(A)☐ (d)(5)☐ (b)(2)☐ (b)(7)(B)☐ (j)(2)☐ (b)(3)☐ (b)(7)(C)☐ (k)(1)☐ (b)(7)(D)☐ (k)(2)☐ (b)(7)(E)☐ (k)(3)☐ (b)(7)(F)☐ (k)(4)☐ (b)(4)☐ (b)(8)☐ (k)(5)☐ (b)(5)☐ (b)(9)☐ (k)(6)☐ (b)(6)☐ (k)(7)

- ☐ Information pertained only to a third party with no reference to the subject of your request.
- ☐ Information pertained only to a third party. The subject of your request is listed in the title only.
- ☐ Documents originated with another Government agency(ies). These documents were referred to that agency(ies) for review and direct response to you.

Pages contain information furnished by another Government agency(ies). You will be advised by the FBI as to the releasability of this information following our consultation with the other agency(ies).

Page(s) withheld inasmuch as a final release determination has not been made. You will be advised as to the disposition at a later date.

☒ Pages were not considered for release as they are duplicative of serial 3.

☐ For your information: _____

☒ The following number is to be used for reference regarding these pages:

89-WF-163189-1A1 enclosures.

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXX
 XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
 X DELETED PAGE(S) X
 X NO DUPLICATION FEE X
 X FOR THIS PAGE X
 XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

FBI/DOJ

146

b7C

April 7, 1989

Supreme Court of the United States
1 First Street, N.E.
Washington, D. C. 20543

Re: Petition for TRO's or
Interlocutory Injunctions

Gentlemen:

IMMEDIATE ATTENTION

The enclosed papers are re-submittals and require the utmost urgency for Injunctions. I do not have the time to prepare a more formal organization of the enclosed papers nor a more formal presentation for the waiver of Time Requirements under 28 USCS 2101.

Pursuant to CPLR 4511(a,b), this Court is required to take Judicial Notice of McKinney's Statutes, Sec. 92(a), Sec. 111, Sec. 141, Sec. 145, Sec. 146, Sec. 148, Sec. 172, Sec. 321 (for recognition of remedial Statute of 28 USCS 2201).

The submittals of these papers is the final attempt to obtain TR('s or Interlocutory Injunctions in a manner prescribed by the Laws of the Land. If the Court refuses the Injunctions (by telephone to the respondents, since there isn't any time left for mail since this Court has been too busy worrying about the size of the paper and the formatting, to be concerned with JUSTICE), then JUSTICE in this Country is nothing but a facade and the Law of the Jungle is the true reality, with blood and death resulting.

I depend on my car and a telephone for employment and supporting myself. Anyone who attempts to seize my car (illegally, as my Driver's License was seized) will result in death; either mine or any respondent. It is tragic, indeed, that I should have to quote the famous words in the so-called modern society in the United States, "Give Me Liberty Or Give Me Death." I am rapidly coming to the conclusion that there is nothing in New York State but a CORRUPT JUDICIARY (as the enclosed letters demonstrate.

If IMMEDIATE Injunctions are not issued, forthwith, then BERNHARDT GOETZ II will be born, and "Justice" will be "served" each time the trigger is pulled. In that case, a Writ of Certiorari will not be necessary, since the Statutes are only facades.

If you should attempt to contact me and I do not respond within ONE week, then I will be either dead or in prison.

This letter is being sent to you via Express Mail-Return Receipt Requested, Express #B34301246 to be certain that you receive it

Very truly yours

[Signature] (pro se)
[Signature] (pro se) for Plaintiff OR
Bernhardt Goetz II

b7C

146